

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT APPLICATION EXAMINING OPERATIONS

Appl. No. : 10/002,781 Confirmation No. 5371
Applicant : Andrew R. Ferlitsch
Filed : October 29, 2001
TC/A.U. : 2625
Examiner : Murphy, Dillon J.
Docket No. : SLA1031
Customer No. : 52894
Title : METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR PRINT JOB INTERLEAVING

Interview Summary

Krieger Intellectual Property, Inc.
PO Box 1073
Camas, Washington 98607
September 27, 2006

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A telephonic interview was conducted with Examiner Murphy on August 21, 2006 regarding an Office action dated May 1, 2006. Attending the interview with Examiner Murphy were applicant's attorney Scott C. Krieger and Kristine Matthews of Krieger Intellectual Property, Inc.

The applicant filed a response to the Office action on August 25, 2006 and received an Interview Summary from Examiner Murphy dated August 30, 2006. This correspondence contains a statement of the substance of the referenced interview.

A Statement of the Substance of the Interview begins on page 2 of this paper.

Application Number: 10/002,781

Interview Summary Dated: September 27, 2006

Summary of Interview Conducted: August 21, 2006

Statement as to the Substance of Telephone Interview of August 21, 2006

- (A) No exhibits were shown, and no demonstrations were conducted.
- (B) Claims 1-3, 5, and 7-18 are pending in the application and were discussed in the interview.
- (C) Prior art reference Takeda (US 6,229,622) was discussed in the interview.
- (D) Amendment of the language “non-printer computing device” was proposed to clarify the location of the functionality of receiving, breaking down, tagging, interleaving, and sending to be at a device separate from the printing device.
- (E) The applicant argued that the performance of the above mentioned functions occurring outside of the printing device is not taught in Takeda and that the applicant would change the language to clarify as such.
- (F) No additional pertinent matters were discussed.
- (G) The examiner reiterated that the prior art of record meets the limitations of the claims and stood by the non-final rejection mailed May 1, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

/Scott C. Krieger/

Scott C. Krieger
Reg. No. 42,768
Tel. No.: (360) 828-0589